## **Isolation and characterization of microsatellite DNA loci** from Russell's snapper (*Lutjanus russellii*)

YU-SONG GUO,\* ZHONG-DUO WANG,\*+ CHU-WU LIU,\*+ LI LIU+ and YUN LIU\*

\*College of Life Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China, †Fisheries college, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524025, China

## Abstract

A total of 37 microsatellite loci from the Russell's snapper, *Lutjanus russellii*, were successfully isolated and characterized. Thirty-four loci were polymorphic in *L. russellii* samples. Twenty of the 37 markers did not differ significantly from Hardy–Weinberg expected genotype proportions. Significant linkage disequilibrium was detected in one pairwise comparison. The numbers of alleles and observed heterozygosities in polymorphic loci ranged from two to 16 and from 0.41 to 0.95, respectively. These markers will be useful for studying the population genetic structure of this species.

Keywords: isolation, Lutjanus russellii, microsatellite, molecular markers, Russell's snapper

Received 11 March 2007; revision accepted 13 April 2007

Russell's snapper *Lutjanus russellii* is a tropical fish distributed throughout the Indo-West Pacific. The artificial propagation and breeding of Russell's snapper have made it an important fishery resource in China since the 1990s (Hong & Zhang 2002). Although microsatellites have been isolated for other *Lutjanus* species, including *L. campechanus* (Gold *et al.* 2001), *L. erythropterus* (LO *et al.* 2006) and *L. argentimaculatus* (Zhang *et al.* 2006), less genetic work has been conducted on *L. russellii*. In this study, we successfully isolated and characterized microsatellite markers by developing and screening a genomic library for Russell's snapper.

Genomic DNA was extracted from the blood of a Russell's snapper captured in Zhanjiang Harbor of the South China Sea, using a standard phenol–chloroform procedure (Sambrook *et al.* 1989). Following digestion with *Hae*III and *Dra*I, almost all DNA fragments were distributed between 200 bp and 1500 bp (Luchezar *et al.* 1993). Digestive fragments were ligated to oligonucleotide A using dATP and inserted into the pUCm-T vector (Bio Basic Inc.), then transformed into DH5 $\alpha$  competent cell of *Escherichia coli*. The presence of genomic DNA inserts was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using the M13 universal primers and (CA)<sub>15</sub> (Lunt *et al.* 1999). A total of 2318 colonies were screened for micro-

Guangdong Ocean University and Hunan Normal University contributed equally.

© 2007 The Authors Journal compilation © 2007 Blackwell Publishing Ltd satellites, and 115 positive clones with repeat motifs were isolated and sequenced using an Applied Biosystems 377 automated DNA sequencer from either end or both ends by using standard M13 sequencing primers. Primers for 37 microsatellite loci were designed using the OLIGO 6 software package (Molecular Biology Insights, Inc.) and were consistently amplifiable and scorable with optimized PCR condition.

To assess the variability of the microsatellites, genomic DNA was isolated from the blood of 20 individuals captured in Zhanjiang harbour of the South China Sea (Sambrook et al. 1989). PCRs were performed in a 10-µL cocktail containing 10 ng of genomic DNA, 0.5 μM of each primer, 1 μL 10× PCR buffers (Bio Basic Inc., 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0)), 10 mm KCl, 10 mm ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mm MgSO<sub>4</sub>), 0.2 mm each dNTPs and 1 U of Taq plus polymerase. PCR runs began with an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 30 s at the annealing temperature  $(T_a)$ , 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. Amplified fragments were size fractionated on 8% polyacrilamide gels and visualized using silver staining. Heterozygosity values, tests of Hardy-Weinberg and genotypic equilibrium were calculated with the package GENEPOP 3.4 (10 000 dememorizations, 100 batches, 5000 iterations per batch) (Raymond & Rousset 1995). Three loci, Lru006, Lru033 and Lru037 were monomorphic. Descriptive statistics for the polymorphic microsatellites were given in Table 1. The numbers of alleles and observed heterozygosity in polymorphic loci ranged from two to 16

Correspondence: Chu-wu Liu, Fax: 086-0759-2382459; E-mail: liuchuwu@hotmail.com

**Table 1** Microsatellite primer pairs for Russell's snapper *Lutjanus russellii*. Reported are locus name; sequences for forward (F) and reverse (R) primers; allele size (bp); optimal annealing temperature ( $T_a$ ); repeat motif; number of alleles ( $N_a$ ); observed heterozygosity ( $H_O$ ); expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ); and probability of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE *P*). An asterisk denotes a significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium including a Bonferroni correction. GenBank Accession nos EF070346–EF070392

Locus	Primer sequence (5'–3')	Size (bp)	$T_{a}(^{\circ}C)$	Repeat motif	N <sub>a</sub>	HWE P	$H_{\rm E}$	H <sub>O</sub>
Lru001	F: тссстстдттдттдааад R: сстдатстсбатастссс	149	58	(CA) <sub>30</sub>	14	0.083	0.95	0.93
Lru002	F: AGGTCTCCCCTGCAACAG	196	58	(AC) <sub>22</sub>	10	0.509	0.90	0.89
Lru003	F: GCATCTGCCTGGGAACTT P: CCAACACCCTGGGAACTT	158	60	(AC) <sub>43</sub>	13	0.196	0.95	0.93
Lru004	F: GATGGCAATGGAAGGCACA	100	58	(CA) <sub>14</sub>	5	0.068	0.70	0.74
Lru005	R: CIGGGATCTATGAAAGCAAGAG F: AACAGGCACATTTTCACA	216	58	(AC) <sub>58</sub>	12	0.000*	0.70	0.93
Lru008	R: GAAGGAGCAGTACCAAGA F: CAGTCTTCCACTTTCATT	130	50	(CA) <sub>15</sub>	4	0.000*	0.25	0.70
Lru010	R: TIGCTACAGITTICAACCC F: GCAAACGGAGGAAACAAA	153	60	(CA) <sub>28</sub>	14	0.075	1.00	0.94
Lru011	R: CIGAAGCICGGAIGAGGA F: TGTGCTGCTGAGGACTGA	165	60	(AC) <sub>18</sub>	14	0.992	1.00	0.93
Lru012	R: CACCCTGCGTGCGTAAGT F: ATGTTGGCTGAATCGTAG	250	52	(AC) <sub>32</sub>	12	0.059	0.90	0.92
Lru013	R: GACCAGGTCTCCTTGAGGTT F: CATCGGGTATTTAGACAA	212	55	(CA) <sub>23</sub>	6	0.001*	0.55	0.74
Lru014	R: agtgccaactactgcttt F: tggaggaaaatctgtcta	216	56	(AC) <sub>19</sub>	16	0.498	1.00	0.95
Lru019	R: agagtagcaggtttgatg F: aaatgcgacatcaccaac	120	56	(AC) <sub>8</sub>	6	0.000*	1.00	0.64
Lru020	R: ттадсдтаасстсааастсс F: сасссаадтасастсатд	190	60	(CA) <sub>10</sub>	6	0.060	0.79	0.66
Lru021	R: gtgcagctttctccgtat F: tgagggcataccgatttt	126	54	(CTC) <sub>12</sub>	3	0.076	0.29	0.67
Lru022	R: gaccaggtctcccacagc F: ttggggacggcagataca	113	57	(CA) <sub>10</sub>	6	0.000*	1.00	0.72
Lru023	R: gaggtggagtgaaagaagataa F: acaccaccagtaaacaccg	154	56	(AC) <sub>8</sub> (CA) <sub>2</sub> (CA) <sub>5</sub> (CA) <sub>4</sub>	6	0.002	0.41	0.53
Lru024	R: gctgctaacacgctaacc F: cacccgttgcgtcagatt	127	57	(AC) <sub>8</sub>	4	0.003	1.00	0.68
Lru025	R: TCGTGCAGCGTGGTTTGG F: ACCACGCTGCACGAGATT	137	66	(AC) <sub>5</sub> (AC) <sub>2</sub> (AC) <sub>10</sub> (AC) <sub>7</sub>	10	0.111	0.79	0.84
Lru026	R: ggcttataccgacccacc F: gaccaggtctccgaacgc	120	57	(CA) <sub>9</sub> (CA) <sub>6</sub>	4	0.000*	0.06	0.61
Lru027	R: tcgcctcagtgaatccgt F: ggctacagcaggaagact	204	56	(AC) <sub>9</sub>	2	0.256	0.56	0.41
Lru028	R: ttggaggttgttgaggac F: agaaccaaaccgacctga	198	57	$(CA)_{c}(CA)_{c}(CA)_{c}(CA)_{c}$	7	0.000*	0.84	0.78
Lru029	R: acctgtgcctgtgcttac F: ccgttacgaaatcatcag	193	56	(AC)	4	0.000*	1.00	0.55
L ru030	R: TGCCTCCAGACTCAAATA	239	62	(CTT) (CA) (CA) (AATTA)	13	0.239	1.00	0.00
Liu030	R: CCAGTCCACAGTTCACCC	101	56	$(C1)_{12}(CA)_7(CA)_{27}(AAIA)_7$	15 E	0.001*	0.62	0.71
Lru031	F: TGTCACTTCACCCATTCC R: TTCGCTTTGATATTCACG	181	56	$(CA)_9(AC)_4$	5	0.001*	0.63	0.72
Lru032	F: AGACAGGCTGGAATAACA R: TACTGAATTGAGGACTTT	213	56	(CA) <sub>3</sub> (CA) <sub>4</sub> (AC) <sub>10</sub> (CA) <sub>2</sub>	4	0.066	0.35	0.58
Lru034	F: ACCACCAAAAGTCACAGA R: ATACACCCCTCACGCATC	154	56	(AACA) <sub>5</sub>	4	0.026	0.40	0.57
Lru035	F: ACCAGGTCTCCTTCATCC R: CTCCAGTCTTCCCTACAT	231	48	(CA) <sub>8</sub>	2	0.280	0.30	0.43

Locus	Primer sequence (5'–3')	Size (bp)	$T_{a}(^{\circ}C)$	Repeat motif	$N_{\rm a}$	HWE P	$H_{\rm E}$	$H_{\rm O}$
Lru036	F: aggtgccagatgaggtag	155	56	(AC) <sub>11</sub>	9	0.076	0.75	0.85
	R: ggttggtaggtggaagag							
Lru038	F: AGAACCAAACCGACCTGA	198	58	(CA) <sub>8</sub> (CA) <sub>5</sub> (CA) <sub>5</sub> (CA) <sub>2</sub>	11	0.053	1.00	0.82
	R: ACCTGTGCCTGTGCTTAC							
Lru039	F: AGTTCTTGTTAGCACCTTT	221	56	(AC) <sub>11</sub>	7	0.001*	0.90	0.80
	R: TTGTAGTTTCACGAGCAG							
Lru040	F: CAATCACCCATGAACACG	223	62	(AC) <sub>12</sub> (TA) <sub>3</sub> (AT) <sub>9</sub>	7	0.002	1.00	0.86
	R: ACATCAGGCTGCAGACGA							
Lru041	F: CACTTTGCTCTTCTCCCTG	191	58	(ATTTT) <sub>3</sub>	0.3	1.000	0.50	0.54
	R: CTCCTCCATCATTCATTCTC							
Lru042	F: TTGGGGACGGCAGATACA	113	56	(CA) <sub>10</sub>	5	0.182	0.70	0.63
	R: GAGGTGGAGTGAAAGAAGATAA							
Lru043	F: cacaaatgggcacaataa	258	56	(CACT) <sub>13</sub>	10	0.273	0.85	0.88
	R: ggcaacatggacgtgtaa							

Table 1 Continued

and from 0.41 to 0.95, respectively. Ten microsatellite loci deviated significantly from Hardy–Weinberg expectations including a Bonferroni correction (Bonferroni 1935), indicating either that null alleles are present or that the sample size was too small. Significant genotypic disequilibrium within samples was found in one pairwise comparison (Lru003-Lru004, P = 0.000). Collectively, these results indicated that genotypes at pairs of microsatellites which appeared randomly associated and suggested that most microsatellites were inherited in a Mendelian fashion.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Andrew Thompson and Dr Sam Banks for their assistance of the English improvements. The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No: 30671610).

## References

Bonferroni CE (1935) ll calcolo delle assicurazioni su gruppi di teste. In: *Studi in Onore Del Professore Salvatore Ortu Carboni* (eds) Rome, Italy.

- Gold JR, Pak E, Richardson LR (2001) Microsatellite variation among red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) from the Gulf of Mexico. *Marine Biotechnology* (NY), 3, 293–304.
- Hong WS, Zhang QY (2002) Artificial propagation and breeding of marine fish in China. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology Limnology*, 20, 41–51.
- LO LC, Zhu ZY, Yue GH (2006) Multiplex genotyping of novel tetranucleotide microsatellites from a marine foodfish species crimson red snapper (*Lutjanus erythropterus*). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6, 524–526.
- Luchezar K, Iveta D Kalcheva, Verne MC (1993) Construction of random small-insert genomic libraries highly enriched for simple sequence repeats. Nucleic Acids Research, 21, 3911–3912.
- Lunt DH, Hutchinson WF, Carvalho GR (1999) An efficient method for PCR-based isolation of microsatellite arrays (PIMA). *Molecular Ecology*, 8, 891–894.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenism. *Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Zhang JB, Cai ZP, Huang H (2006) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci from mangrove red snapper *Lutjanus argentimaculatus*. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **6**, 408–411.